G. R. S. IX—5]

Previous references
CO 213-9 (1-9

1923.
Courts)

File No. 147-23
Serial Nos 1-12+13

Preserve

Later references
CS 603-24 to 27
" 241-24 to 242
" 180-24 to 243
MS. 260-24 (1)
" 17[illegible] (1-3)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. ~~1~~ 13

Part B for June 1924.

SUBJECT

Establishment of an additional Subordinate Judge's court at Mysore and the abolition of the temporary second Munsiff's court at Seringapatam with effect from 1st July 1924.

Sanctioned.

Manicasamy Iyengar.
15/7/24.

G. R. S. 11] 1923-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Cts)

NOTES.

File No. 177

FILE HEADING.

Establishment of a Small Causes Court in Mysore City

From

The Regr Chief Cts

Roc No. 911 Dated 18.1.24 Received 21.1.24

Diary No. 3109. Enclos. 2 Spare Copies. Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests for reasons given that a Court of Small Causes be established in the Mysore City to look after the Small Causes cases of the Subordinate Judges and Dt.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Letters ascertained for Railways

Memo. No. 404/21-1-24 CS 177-23 (R 3144 P.

Copy of D.O.letter No. M.483 dated the 20th January 1924 from the Dewan of Mysore, to the Chief Judge, Chief Court of Mysore.

I write to acknowledge, with thanks, your demi-official letter of the 18th instant on the subject of the establishment of a Court of Small Causes at Mysore. The matter will receive immediate attention of Government.

(2) Forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Local & Legislative Departments, for favour of working up the case and submitting to the Member in a week.

Bangalore,
20th January 1924.

21/1
cwg
21.1

(3) Statistics showing the particulars of suits etc in which to have been sent have not been received. To find out the file of the court as well as the disposals this seems necessary. Put with a draft calling for the same for orders.

(4) Issue cwg 23/1

22/1/24 hul

Issued no P 4.957 dt 28-1-24

3109
3227

3109 (3

~~3144~~

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CS- File No. 177 of 19 25-4

Serial No.

(5) The statement has been received. From the statement it can be seen that the disposals for six months in 1923-4 is as follows:

	Pending	Instn.	Disposals	Pendency
			Cont.	Cmcn
O.S.	134	35	21 - 18 - 39	132
S.C.	590	692	139 - 683 - 822	501
R. Appeals	171	-	29 - 0 - 29	142

(6) The average disposals in the Court for the 2 years 1921-2 & 1922-3 is 1374 Small Cause cases (which is rather high. If we take for a longer number of years, the average will be a little lower). Out of this 822 cases have been disposed of. The balances that might be disposed of in the remaining six months will be 1374 - 822 = 652. The balance that will be left will be very little.

The average disposals of Small cause suit of Munsiffs is 674 367 (vide statement W in the linked file). It is a point for consideration whether one Subordinate has got enough work unless it is intended that the Munsiffs in Mysore are to be withdrawn their Small cause jurisdiction. If the Small cause jurisdiction have to be withdrawn from the Munsiffs their files become light and then there is no necessity for the additional Court in Mysore. The two permanent courts alone may be able to dispose of their original suits as shown below after a redistribution of their original jurisdiction.

This is what is proposed.

	Pend.	Instn.	Total for disposal	Disposals	Pend.
I Court	666	626	1351	707	64
II Court	720	709	1683	82	60

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

US File No. 177 of 19 Ler

Serial No.

No appreciable disposals have fallen below the average if the Small Cause jurisdiction is withdrawn decreases the disposals of original suits with rise. Seeing this aspect of the question the Judges of the Chief Court have recommended that the Seringapatam temporary court may be abolished. It has been pointed out in the Lucid file, there is no necessity for the continuance of the Seringapatam Court. The Chief Court have recommended the continuance. If the Chief court are afraid to do away with one court, the temporary Munsiff's Court at Mysore may be abolished in addition to the abolition of the Seringapatam court. Hence if one Subordge is appointed for Small Cause suits the Seringapatam temporary Court as well as the temporary Court at Mysore may be abolished.

I am not sure of this

(7) Institutions of original suits in the Subordge's Court at Mysore averages only 75 and appeals that are transferred average about 130 It is a point for consideration whether the Subordge has enough work (apart from pending appeals) Figures re the average appeals institutions and disposals in the other two Subcourts have not been furnished. If they are available it can be seen whether the Subordge has enough work or not

For the present at any rate some whip is necessary.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2697 3107 (C

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 15 File No. 177 of 1924

Serial No.

(8) Then there is another question whether the other subordinate courts will not complain that they also want relief. Under the circumstances, the temporary Munsiff at Mysore may be given small cause jurisdiction for some time upto Rs 1000/- which will relieve to a certain extent the pressure of work. Put for orders.

29.1.24

(9) For some years past pendency has been regularly on the increase in the Mysore Division, and in the Govt's review this has been remarked upon year after year & this year, there is a definite direction to take steps to remedy this state of affairs.

(10) From the Statement sent up, it is found that institutions exceed disposals, particularly in the case of appeals. Though the disposals latterly are good, they have not kept pace with institutions, probably due to accumulated arrears, and there is no likelihood of the work reaching normal proportions, unless for a time at least some measures are adopted to take away a portion of the work from both the Dist & the Sub-Judges.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

the present State of Finances

(14) Anyway some remedy is necessary; and a Small Cause Court. Temporarily is the adequate one Subdiv for orders

d th Secy

1 Member

(15) I need like Bhamidi figures for 5 years previous 1920-21 - the total number of appeals filed, no heard & decided by Civil Court no retained no disposed of by Civil Court -

no of ~~the~~ appeal & Small Cause suits filed ~~in~~ disposed of in Civil Court -

14) Subdivisn draft (17) Issue

3 the Regr th

Govt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3227/3686

8 (8

Serial No. 4

From

The Registrar, High Court letter no: Loc 911/20-2-24

forwards the statement showing the appeals filed, transferred, small causes and original suits pending and disposed of in the Sub Courts and Subordinate Judges Courts respectively as called for

18) noted with reference to para (15) above

(D & L Secy)

25/2/24

cag 25/2

19) Having regard to the existence of three Munsiffs Courts & Sub-Courts & Subordinate Judges Courts & the fact of small cause suits being disposed of by the Subordinate Judges Courts & the fact of temporary creation of work in the establishment of the Subordinate Judges Courts & the Small Cause Court Commissioners do not seem to be entirely desirable or expedient. It involves a decreasing expenditure of more than Rs. 1000 to a year without any corresponding benefit to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C5 File No. 177

Serial No.

20) The small cause court jurisdiction will be small cause suits. There will not be much work for him throughout the year.

21) The completion of work in sub-division is due to ~~[illegible]~~ causes which may not exist in future.

22) I venture to submit that a temporary subordinate may be posted for [illegible] to clear the arrears that with a competent sub-[illegible] working steadily it is possible to reduce the file to a normal condition. There seems to be no reason for the retention of a small cause court [illegible]

01645
2/3 Thumbe

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(70)
70

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 177 of 1917

Serial No.

23) It will be seen from the figures that up to 20-21 the work of the Small Cause Registry was well in hand & there was hardly any accumulation of arrears. It was after the enhancement of the Small Cause jurisdiction that the Registry began to fall into arrears. The Small Cause suits were reduced from 756 in 20-21 to 141 3 in 21-22. The Small Cause jurisdiction of the Sub Judge was enhanced because the work of the Municipality was in arrears and it was thought advisable to give them relief, and that a 3rd Municipal Court was given for the Registry, the Revenue Remedy for the Collectors & work in the Sub Court. It is to relieve the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Court & that it was given in 1920-21. This will make the file of the Sub Court quite normal. & that it was given in 1920-21 when no need was felt to give relief & any kind of the Sub Court. If there is done the 3rd Mun Court will have done definite & real & the undefinite kind

(11

G. R. S. III-2

3688

General and Revenue Secretariat.

15 File No. 177 of 1924

Serial No.

with which it is now doing.

24) Upon which the Secretary to Government has proposed that the proposed Small Cause Court will not have suppressed work at Ryotwar and will also render the work of the Sub Court very light. While a 3rd Court also working, the Munsiffs will certainly have an easy time of it at Ryotwar. There will be a plethora of Courts at Ryotwar while little or no work. The last Census Rules as already stated to reduce the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Sub Court and to establish a Sub Court for a period of 6 months to clear off the arrears of the Sub Court.

25) Please submit draft.

24/3

(26)

Dewan) Generally agree. These suggestions may be communicated to the Chief Court for Law of Opinion

24/3 Dewan 24/3

4/3

27) Draft.

28/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

DEWAN'S OFFICE,
RECD. ON 24 MAR. 1924
6911
T.S.O. No

2688

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CS File No. 17 of 1924

Seria No.

28/ to be with a draft for consideration. The
3/ 1st member has not yet seen
6.3 the Dewan's remarks.
put
1st Secy
29/ Draft may be put
to abbrevd.

2386 1st member.
28/3

30/ approved
put up
29/3

(S!) Issue
29.3

5 To The Regr Chief Court
No. P. 5524 dt 1.4.24.
Request for the opinion of the Chief Court
Small Causes
re the redistribution of the jurisdiction
of the Sub-Court at Nagpore & the establish-
ment of a temporary Sub-Co. for 6 months
to clear off the arrears

4620 (13
13

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CS File No. 177 of 1925

Serial No.

6. from

The Regr Chief Court. Letter no: 911 J 7-6-24

States that it is preferable to have a Small Cause Court to a Subordinate Court and of the two, prefers a Sub-Court to a Small Cause Court the proposed Sub Court may be established for a term of years if not permanently instead of six months as proposed by Govt.

(32) Sub with reference to para 26 above.

& Ind

9-7-24 Chief in favour of a Small Cause Court, and are averse to the reduction of the pecuniary limit of the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Sub-Judge. As an alternative to their proposal they are agreeable to a temporary Sub-Court, but for a longer period. There is no basis for their supposition that the additional Courts for Senjapatna would be abolished. As anticipated by the Chief Court, the number of appealable decrees will increase, if S.C. jurisdiction is reduced, and there will be all the more reason for relief to the appellate Judges. Perhaps a Sub-Court for a time may be tried, and it is necessary at the

4428 (14

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 15 File No. 177 of 1923-24

Serial No.

end of that period to extend the term of the Court, may be fair. Provision will have to be made in the budget. Provision may perhaps be made for a year, though the Court may be sanctioned for six months, so that in case there is need to extend the term, money may be available. This question along with the continuation of the ~~the~~ additional Munsiffs' Court, is for consideration at the final budget meeting.

34) Submitted for personal orders.

The Secy

1st Member.

Secy
17-4

Do
19/4

Secy
19.4

35) If the suggestion of the present Sub Court is received and the subject is referred to Local Govt, some time will be taken. Under the circumstances the appellate work of the district Munsiff will have to be placed in relief. If an additional Sub Court is established for 6 months or a year, it should be quite sufficient.

Under?

(36) Submitted with reference to para 26

Dewan

20/4

21/4

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
RECD. ON 22 APR. 1924
Regn. No 9666

Note Continued

4428 (15

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/S File No. 177 of 1923

Serial No.	
37)	Maps circulated for consideration C 4
38)	circulate C 4

Copy (AM)

(16.
16

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 435
7 1923-24.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

use xii of the schedule)

Establishment of an additional temporary Subordinate Judge's Court at Mysore.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

28th April 19 24.

Chief Secretary to Government.
(In charge)

Extraordinary Member of Council.

6008 29/4 First Member of Council. 1/5 (29)

749 28.4.24 Second Member of Council. 28/4

Third Member of Council.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 696th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at ~~Bangalore~~* Mysore *on the* 22nd May *19* 24

242. Subject.- Clause xii of the schedule.

Establishment of an additional temporary Subordinate Judge's Court at Mysore.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

The temporary Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam may be abolished and an additional Sub Judge's Court established at Mysore temporarily for a period of one year.

Sd/ A. R. Banerji,
Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT

Sd/

Chief Secretary to Government.

435 18/18

G. R. S. 12]

W D 473—GPB—1,000—12-9-18

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C5 File No. 171 of 1912484

Abolition of the Company Mussafirs Court at Seringapatam & establishment of a Company Govt Guest at Mysore.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

40) Forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government, under paragraph 9 * of the Government Order No. G. 17572-8—G. M. 676-17-1, dated 22nd June 1918.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

*9. (a) If the views expressed by the Financial Secretary in any case, whether original or un-officially referred, are not accepted by the Member of Government in charge, or by the Dewan and Members of Council, as the case may be, the file containing the discussions shall be sent to the Financial Secretary a second time, in order to enable him, in cases in which he considers that the orders proposed to be issued are opposed to financial rules of Government or to recognised financial principles or for other reasons of a financial nature, are open to objection, to record a respectful representation to that effect, which will be placed by the Dewan before His Highness the Maharaja for consideration.

(b) All cases sent to the Financial Secretary under this rule should be treated as immediate and returned to the Department concerned within three days of receipt.

41) I request that the additional cost involved by the proposal may be calculated and intimated to the Finance Dept

28/5/24

42) L.I.C. sees with compts

28/5

88

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | C- File No. 177 of 19 25

Serial No.

43) There is a Statement in the file, wherein the average Cost of a Sub-Court for a year has been worked out by the Chief Court. It is estimated at Rs 12,617-

flags S & Q

44) As the Sub-Court to be a temporary one, intended to reduce Congestion, it is unnecessary to give the establishment proposed, which is on par with that of the Shimoga Sub-Court. There is no necessity for a Sheristadar and Court Nazir, as also for a Couple of Clerk. It is enough if a Court Clerk, a decree writer, with two Peons to assist them are Sanctioned. The menial establishment is necessary.

45) ~~Estt~~ Actual Cost for a year on this basis, will be as follows :-

	Rs
Sub-Judge on Rs 500/-	6000/- p.a.
one clerk on 40/-	480/-
one clerk on 35/-	420/-
Two on 30/-	720/-
Menial Estt as in flag Q	528/-
Total	Rs 8148/-
Contingencies	150/-
Furniture	500/-
	Rs 8798

G. R. S. III-2]

4428
P

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C5 File No. 177 of 19 24

Serial No.

Roughly about Rs. 8800/- for one year.

46) This calculation does not take into account any savings that may be effected by the abolition of the additional munsiffs' court in Seringapatam. In fact, whether there are savings or not, provision will have to be made in the budget for the Sub-Court separately. Only Rs 5000/ appears to have been provided in the budget. This amount may suffice for six months only.

Ltd Secy

Carried 30/5-

Ltd Secy
With Compts

Recd 31/5

Carried 31/5

Recd 26/6/24

The abolition of the temporary Munsiffs Court at Seringapatam & part of the personal and of the net annual Cost of forming a Sub Court in lieu a Munsiffs Court will not effect Rs 5000 provided in the budget there No financial effect to the proposal

Presume provision is made for the temporary Munsiffs Court under existing orders

Ltd Secy

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Provision is not at present made for munsiff

FIN. SECRETARIAT 31 MAY 1924

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

4/28 P.

No File No. 177 of 1923

First Number

10/6

Cag 10/6

The Secretary the appointment of the additional Commissioner Ernakulam will have the duties of the Rent Court may not therefore need sanction. The additional Sub Court may therefore be sanctioned for one year with the status & powers of the Head of the Department Subordinate.

11/6.

The Civil Court may be Constituted also the Subordinate

Draft to Chief Court

Cag 11/6

11/6.

First write a draft — Issue

11/6/24

Cag 11/6

To The Registrar Chief Court. Campbell & 12-6-24

Calls for a statement showing the cost of establishment that will be required for the establishment of an additional Sub Court at Mysore.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C/- File No. 177 of 1924

Serial No.

Order about the abolition 2
the Temporary and Munsif's Courts
have not been communicated to the
Civil Court. This may be done & the
Civil Court asked to take steps for the
establishment of a temporary and
Court at Mysore with effect from
1st July

15/6

Issue orders.

15/6

15/6

Issued with draft

Issue

15/6

15/6/24

To

The Reg: Civil Court. Letter no: Camp no d/ 15/6/24

Requests that steps may be taken to abolish the
temporary Court at Seringapatam and establish
a temporary Sub Court at Mysore with effect from 1-7-24
and that necessary establishment for the sub-Court and
Munsif's Court may be

D.O. No 120
23-24

I M M E D I A T E.

IMMEDIATE

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

CONFIDENTIAL *Bangalore, dated* 19th JUNE 1924.

Rec'd 21/6

Dear Sir,

In connection with the proposal to establish a temporary Additional Sub Court at Mysore after abolishing the temporary Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam with effect from 1st July 1924, I am desired to recommend that Mr. B. Ranga Iyengar, senior Munsiff who has once acted as Special First Grade Magistrate may be appointed as temporary Acting Subordinate Judge. But, in view of the fact that Mr. P. Mahadevayya, Subordinate Judge, Shimoga has already put in nearly three years service at that station, I am to suggest his being transferred to Mysore as Additional Subordinate Judge and Mr. B. Ranga Iyengar posted as Acting Subordinate Judge, Shimoga.

2. In place of Mr. B. Ranga Iyengar (in the event of his being promoted as Acting Subordinate Judge) the Chief Court, I am to state, nominates Mr. V. Kandaswamy Pillay (Acting Second Munsiff, Seringapatam) as Acting Munsiff, Davangere, - an arrangement - calculated to cause the least disturbance among existing Munsiffs - and to request that the Government may be pleased to appoint him.

3. I am to request that very early orders may kindly be passed in the matter, as the Additional Sub Court is to commence work from the 1st proximo, and there is barely sufficient time to complete the necessary arrangements. If necessary, Mr. P. Mahadevayya will be asked to hand over charge of his Court to his Sheristedar in sufficient time to enable him to open the new Sub Court at Mysore on the forenoon of the 1st July next.

Yours sincerely,

Sheriniwasa Rajagopachari
14/6/24

This may perhaps be considered at the next meeting by 1st member

21/6

To

K. MATTHAN, ESQ., B.A.,

CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,

CAMP M Y S O R E.

Memo for final note

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the...FIRST.....

Member of Council on the subject of...establishment of an additional temporary Sub Judge's Court at Mysore and the appointment of Mr. B. Ranga Iyengar, Munsiff, as acting Sub Judge,

for His Highness' gracious approval.

Dewan.

2 Jan 1924

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

Establishment of an additional temporary Sub Judge's Court at Mysore and the appointment of Mr. B. Ranga Iyengar, Munsiff, as acting Sub Judge.

On account of the accumulation of arrears—mostly of appeals - in the Mysore Division, particularly in the Sub Judge's Court, Mysore, the question of establishing a temporary additional Sub Court at Mysore was under correspondence with the Chief Court since January last. An examination of the statistics of work in the division for the past six years having shown the necessity for an additional Sub Court, the question was considered at the meeting of the Council held on the 22nd May 1924, when the following resolution was recorded:-

> " The temporary Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam may be abolished and an additional Sub Judge's Court - established at Mysore temporarily for a period of one year".

A provision of Rs. 5000/- has accordingly been included in the next year's budget for the new Court, and since it is proposed to bring the Court into existence from 1st July 1924, the Chief Court have recommended the appointment of Mr. B. Ranga Iyengar, Munsiff of Davangere, as a temporary acting Subordinate Judge. Mr. Ranga Iyengar is the Senior Munsiff and has acted once before as Special Magistrate, First Grade. It is proposed to post him to Shimoga and transfer Mr. P. Mahadeviah to Mysore for charge of the additional Sub Court. The proposal is agreed to by the Dewan and the Members of Council.

The recommendation of the Council both in regard to the establishment of the new Sub Court and the appointment of a new Sub Judge may

graciously approved. Arrangements are being made to start the new Court from 1st July.

Bangalore,
23rd June 1924.

Mir Humayun Hussain
MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Confidential

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject

Establishment of an additional Sub-Judge's court at Mysore and the appointment of Mr. B. Ranga Iyengar as acting Sub-Judge.

Order.

KRM

25/6/24

Secy to G

GD

26 JUN 1924

General and Revenu
Secretariat.

Establishment of an additional Sub-judge's Court at Mysore and the appointment of Mr. B. Ranga Rao as a third Sub-Judge.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of

*

His Highness' orders $\frac{\text{before}}{\text{after}}$ issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government.

Local Legis. Department.

8/4/26.

~~Draft~~

Drafts submitted in the ~~app~~ file may be approved. They will be issued at once if no member

Aug 26/4

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Mono WD 1190—GPB—500 9-1- 3.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C5 File No. ~~123~~ of 1923.—24

Serial No.

Drafts put-up - (i) - G.O. establishing the Sub-Court, and additional notifications abolishing the Munsiff's Court Singapalam, and fixing the jurisdiction of the new Sub-Court may be approved.

As regards the appointment of Mr Rangachariyar, and the transfer of Mr. Mahadevayya, notifications will have to issue from the Confidential Branch.

18/ Marked) Cag 21.6

Draft; appd.

Sub

26/6

Issue Cag 26/6

If Secty's views are recorded at page flagged .T.

Dft & fair copies are subd.

Sd/- 28.6.24.

Sd/- 28/6/24

To - The Comptroller

Yl 7173 Dated 28.6.24

G. R. S. III-2,

5342
P

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 177 of 1923.-

Serial No.	
10	To the Registrar Chief Court " Comptroller Mys Tel- Code " Chief Engineer " Supt. Govt Stg and Printing No. P. 6887-91 dt 27-6-24. Sanctions an additional ~~Sub~~ Sub-Court at Mysore for a period of one year with effect from 18th July 1924 together with the necessary establishment ~~necessary~~ therefor.
11	To the Compiler Mysore Gazette No. P. 6894 dt 27-6-24. Notifies the abolition of the temporary 2nd munsiff Court at Seringapatam with effect from 18th July 1924
12	To the Compiler Mysore Gazette No. P. 6892 dt 27-6-24. Notifies the establishment of an additional Sub-Court at Mysore and fixes the jurisdiction of the same.

The Bill
The receipt
previous
of the
Thanks
recommend

27/7

P
19/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Extract from Govt. Order No. P.3558-67/ Cts. 93-23-3 dated the 4th January 1924.

Para. 10. Appeals in Subordinate Appellate Courts. The number of appeals filed in the District Judges' Courts was 832 against 630, which with 691 pending from the previous year gave 1523 against 1231 for disposal. Of these 635 were disposed of as against 526 during the last year leaving a balance of 888 against 705 pending disposal. Though disposala have increased they have not kept pace with the institutions. The District and Subordinate Judges of the Mysore Division (particularly the latter) were again ~~the latter~~ responsible for so many as 567 of these pending appeals as against 494 in the previous year. It is time that steps are taken to remedy this state of affairs particularly in the Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysor The average duration (322 days as gainst 283 in 1921-20) has considera bly increased and this is attributed to the large numberxof disposals of old appeals.

X X X X X X X

23176
Immediate

3109
35 F

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address. } "JUSTICE"

Bangalore, dated 18th January 1924

KG 177-43-.

Roc No. 911 Sm 3

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

B. NAGAPPA, ESQ., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departm...
a n g a l o r e.

SIR,

I am directed to invite reference to the following remarks contained in para 10 of Government Order No.P.3558-67, Cts. 93-23-3 dated the 4th January 1924, reviewing the report on the administration of Civil Justice in Mysore for the year 1922-1923 :--

"Appeals in Subordinate appellate Courts:-- Though disposals have increased, they have not kept pace with the institutions. The District and Subordinate Judges of the Mysor Division, (particularly the latter) were again responsible for so many as 567 of these pending appeals, as against 49 in the previous year. It is time that steps are taken to remedy the state of affairs particularly in the Subordinat Judge's Court, Mysore".

2. The increase in the number of pending regular appeals in the Mysore Division is due partly to increased institutions but chiefly to the small number of disposals by the Subordinate Judge. It was the practice till recently to transfer 50 per cent of the regular appeals filed in the District Judge's Court

to the Subordinate Judge for disposal; but owing to the congestion in the Subordinate Judge's Court, it has not latterly been possible to adhere to this practice. As a matter of fact, out of 390 regular appeals filed in the District Judge's Court during 1922-1923, only 70 appeals are said to have been transferred to the Subordinate Judge. Out of the appeals so transferred, as many as 142 are even now pending there, 36 of them being more than three years old, besides a number of original suits pending for over 5 or 6 years. One of the reasons for this congested state of work in the Subordinate Judge's Court is the very large increase in the number of small cause suits instituted in that Court, which rose from 657 in 1919-1920 to over than double that number or 1492 in 1922-1923. The inability of the Subordinate Judge to help in the disposal of appeals has resulted in the accumulation of arrears in the District Judge's Court.

3. The Judges of the Chief Court consider that if the Subordinate Judge were relieved entirely of his small cause work, it would enable him to devote more attention to the disposal of original suits and appeals, and thereby afford material relief to the District Judge of which the latter stands in urgent need. They would therefore strongly urge the establishment of a separate Court of Small Causes at Mysore with jurisdiction up to Rs.300/-, to which should be assigned the entire small cause work of the Subordinate Judge and the 1st and 2nd Munsiffs at Mysore. The new Court will thus have about 2,500 small cause suits to dispose of in a year, which with the

the connected miscellaneous and execution applications will probably give that Court enough work to do, it being shown by experience that 20 per cent of the small cause suits are contested. As the presiding Judge will be an officer of the Subordinate Judge's grade, it can be arranged to give him additional work, if necessary, by the transfer of some appeals from time to time.

4. In the event of the above proposal being acceptable to Government, the additional Munsiff's Court now located temporarily at Seringapatam, may be done away with, but the additional Munsiff's Court at Mysore (which may for convenience be styled as the 3rd Munsiff's Court) will in any case have to be retained permanently with a suitable allotment of territorial jurisdiction so as to give relief to the Munsiffs' Courts in the Division, particularly those at Seringapatam and Nanjangud. This matter of redistribution of the territorial jurisdiction of the existing Munsiffs' Courts, will be separately considered and proposals submitted later on.

5. I am to request inethe circumstances that Government may be pleased to accord their early sanction to the establishment of a separate Small Cause Court at Mysore, the temporary additional Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam being abolished as from that date.

6. Statements giving necessary particulars of suits, etc., as well as a proposition statement showing the cost of the proposed court are appended for the information of Government.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Registrar. 18/1/24

STATEMENT SHOWING THE EXPENDITURE REQUIRED FOR THE PROPOSED COURT OF SMALL CAUSES AT MYSORE.

Name of Court.	Items of expenditure	Amount (Annual) Rs. A. P.	Remarks.
Court of Small Causes, Mysore	Judge's pay ..	6,800 0-0 --0	On Rs.500-50-600. Average Rs.566 2/3 per month. Vide proposition Statement annexed.
	Establishment	4,317 - 0 - 0	Vide proposition Statement annexed.
	Contingencies ..	150 - 0 - 0	
	Furniture ..	750 - 0 - 0	Non-recurring.
	House Rent ..	600 - 0 - 0	
	T o t a l ..	12,617 - 0 - 0	
	Total cost of the Court	Rs.12,617- 0 - 0	
DEDUCT :	The total annual expenditure of the 2nd Munsiff's Court, Seringapatam, as per scale fixed in G.O.No.P.2067-70/Cts. 75-23-2 dated the 6th October 1923 including contingent allotment and taking average pay of the Munsiff.	Rs. 5,460- 0 - 0	The 2nd Munsiff's Court, Seringapatam which was sanctioned for six months, has been recommended to be continued till the end of June 1925 (Vide Chief Court letter No.C. 812 of 1923-24 dated the 19th December 192
	NET SUM REQUIRED .	Rs. 7,157- 0 - 0	

Dated 18th January 1924

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE,

Dated 18th January 1924

Threemisa Rajaravebu
18/1/24

Registrar.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter

Finl. 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay			Average Cost			Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum		No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
								1	*Sheristedar.	67	3	82
								1	*Court Nazir.	45	2	55
								1	Clerk	-	-	40
								1	-do-	-	-	35
								2	-do- on 30 Rs. each	-	-	30
								2	Clerks on 25 Rs. each	-	-	25
								1	Mutchi	-	-	13
								1	Daffedar	-	-	12
								1	Peon	-	-	10
								1	Peon	-	-	9
								12	-	-	-	

Note:- The scale of pay propose
that of the menial est
to that in Subordinate

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore 8th January 1920

the Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

)R REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

osition relates **Court of Small Causes, MYSORE.**

WD 055—GPB—2,000—24-10-16

age st	Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
	Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
	78⅓										
	52⅓										
	40										
	35										
	60										
	50										
	13										
	12										
	10										
	9										

letter Vide/R.O.C. No. 91 of 23-24 dated 18

9¼ 359¼ x 12 -- Rs.4317 a year.

---

for these two appointments as well as

olishment is the scale corresponding

Judge's Court.

ollowed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Registrar.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Finl. 200]

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I. and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter I

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the P

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
								1	*Sheristedar.	67	3	82
								1	*Court Nazir.	45	2	55
								1	Clerk	-	-	40
								1	-do-	-	-	35
								2	-do- on 30 Rs. each	-	-	30
								2	Clerks on 25 Rs. each	-	-	25
								1	Mutchi	-	-	16
								1	Daffedar	-	-	12
								1	Peon	-	-	10
								1	Peon	-	-	9
								12	-	-	-	

scale of pay propos
hat of the menial est
o that in Subordinate

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore an

Chief Court of Mysore.
Bangalore. 16th January 1924

he Financial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, d ted 7-2-1903)

36

R REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

sition relates..... Court of Small Causes, MYSORE.

WD 055—GPB—2,000—24-10-16

Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
½	78½									
½	52½									
	40									
5	35									
0	60									
0	60									
3	13									
.2	12									
.0	10									
9	9									
9½	359½ x 12 -- Rs.4317 a year.									

letter Vide/R.O.C. No. 611 of 23-24 dated 18.1.

for these two anpointments as well as

blishment is the scale corresponding

Judge's Court.

wed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Registrar

5

22/322

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF SUITS ACTUALLY INSTITUTED, DISPOSED OF AND PENDING IN THE COURTS NOTED BELOW DURING THE YEARS 1921-1922, 1922-1923 and 1923-1924.

NAME OF COURTS.	1921-1922				1922-1923.				1923-24 from 1st July 1923 to end of December 1923				Remarks
	Instituted.	Disposed of		Pending	Instituted.	Disposed of		Pending	Instituted	Disposed of		Pending	
District Court, Mysore		Con.	Uncon.			Con.	Uncon.			Con.	Uncon.		
Original Suits	17	16	4	43	30	41	4	30	7	15		24	
Regular Appeals	345	167	21	308	390	221	21	396	190	115	20	453	
Sub Judge's Court.													
Original Suits	73	38	27	130	76	52	21	134	35	21	18	132	
Small Cause	1413	225	963	568	1492	302	1259	590	692	139	683	501	
Regular Appeals	126	103	11	186	76	78	6	191	-	29	0	142	
I Munsiff's Court, Mysore.													
Original Suits	699	351	433	666	626	369	338	644	262	111	185	541	
Small Cause Suits	595	141	505	122	700	102	579	150	260	32	241	149	
II Munsiff Court, Mysore.													
Original suits	686	277	478	730	709	325	505	653	330	152	282	539	
Small Cause suits	324	36	290	104	322	33	305	91	187	22	186	73	

District Court, Mysore Division,
Dated 7th January 1924.

Sd/ G. Srinivasa Iyer,
District Judge, Mysore Division.

* C O P Y *

Sheeniwasadapanchan. 26/1/24.
REGISTRAR.

MN/

Cuq
26/1

G. I. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Jud
File No. 177-23
Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

, *the* 192 .

No. P. 4195

Date of issue 28-6-24

Signed by M. C. A. Ghani

To

The Reg: Bellary Court

Sir,

I am directed to state that statements re the work in the Bidyapur's Court Mysore states to have been sent have not been received with your letter no: Roc 911/23-24 & 18-1-24 and to request you to kindly forward the same as early as possible.

Yours obedt

Reg: 23/1 Dr L. L. Lang

23/1/24

over.

WD 0632 - GPB—1800—16-8 23

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

Date of issue 11-2-1924

Signed by M. C. A. Shanmugham

Section 165

File No. 177-1

Serial No. 2

No. P. 4620

To

The Registrar Inferior Courts

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. Roc 911/1324/18.1.24 requesting the establishment of a separate Small Cause Court at Mysore, I am directed to request you to kindly furnish figures for 6 years from 1915-16 showing the number of appeals filed in the District Court Mysore, no: transferred to the Sub Court, no: retained and no: disposed of by either court, ~~also~~ Together ~~and~~ ~~also~~ the number of Original and Small Cause suits filed and disposed of in the Sub Court at Mysore during these years. Thereafter

WD 0632-GPB—18000—16-8-23

11.2.24

cag 14/2 2nd h.c.

3688 Urgent

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

Bangalore, dated 20th Feb. 1924.

Rec No. 911

N 4

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

Dr.....
22. FEB. 1924
SECTION

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to Government,
Local & Legislative Depts
Bangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.P.4620/Cts. 177-23-3 dated the 14th February 1924, requesting to be furnished with certain statistics relating to the Courts of the District Judge and the Subordinate Judg at Mysore, and to enclose herein a statement giving the required information.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

21/2/24

Registra

PNK.

30

Statement showing the number of appeals filed in this Court, the number transferred to the Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysore, the number retained and the number disposed of by either Court together with Original and Small Cause suits filed and disposed of in the latter Court during the 6 years from 1915-1916.

rs.	Appeals in the District Judge's Court.			Appeals in the Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysore.		Original suits in the Subordinate Judge's Court.		Small causes in the Subordinate Judge's Court.		Remarks.
	Instituted.	Disposed of.	Transferred to Sub-Court.	Instituted by Transfer.	Disposed of.	Instituted.	Disposed of.	Instituted.	Disposed of.	
1915-16.	333	193	144	144	129	57	54	478	401	
1916-17.	262	131	129	129	138	34	41	484	506	
1917-18.	289	130	132	132	127	60	36	524	473	
1918-19.	354	198	156	156	115	46	23	621	577	
1919-20.	365	117	171	171	118	61	36	642	627	
1920-21.	357	114	152	152	121	62	48	756	674	

Chief Court of Mysore, Bangal
20th February 1924.

Theenisalopuchon
REGISTRAR.

PNK.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

, the *192* .

Date of issue 1/4/24

Signed by .....

Section G 177-23
File No.
Serial No. 5

No. J 55224

To

The Regr Chief Court

Sir

With reference to ~~your~~ the correspondence ending with your letter no: Roc 911 J 20.2.24 re the opening of a Small Causes Court at Mysore, I am directed to observe that the work of the Sub Court at Mysore does well within hand and there was hardly any accumulation of arrears upto 1920-21 It was after the enhancement of the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Subordinate to give relief to the First Munsiff's Court at Mysore, that the work began to fall into arrears, the small cause suits rising suddenly from 756 in 1920-1 to 1413 in 1921-2. Now that a Third

WD 0632 - GPB—18000—16-8 23

Munsiff's court at has been given to Mysore, the obvious remedy to relieve the congestion in the Sub court at Mysore is to reduce the small cause jurisdiction of the Court to what it was prior to 1920-21, and to establish a sub-courts for a period of about 6 months to clear off the arrears of the sub-court. This suggestion will give some definite work to the third Munsiff's court also. I have to request the favour of the opinion of the Judges of the Chief court, on the suggestions made above ~~as regards the reduction of the Local cause jurisdiction of the Sub Court at Mysore~~

Manuscript

Ro

Cag 16/3 Dr 2 Dec

B 26.3.24

Ind

31627

4428/P of 2336

Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

Immediate.

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore...7th April.....*1924*.

R. O. C. No. 911 23-24



From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L
Registrar, Chief Court of My

B. Nagappa, Esqr., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.P.5524/Cts.177-23-5, dated the 1st April 1924, inviting the opinion of the Judges of the Chief Court, on the proposal to reduce the small cause jurisdiction of the Subordinate Judge at Mysore to what it was prior to 1920-21, and to establish a temporary Subordinate Judge 's Court for a period of six months, I am directed to state as follows:-

The relief of the existing congestion in the Subordinate Judge's Court at Mysore is only one of the objects of the Chief Court's proposal to establish a separate Small Cause Court. The District Judge also requires material help in the disposal of appeals; and the Munsiffs' Courts at Mysore, Seringapatam and Nanjangud have each a heavy original file which requires to be permanently lightened by a re-adjustment of jurisdictions.

To reduce the small cause powers of the Subordinate Judge from Rs.300/- to Rs.100/- as suggested in your letter will further swell the original files of the Munsiffs' Courts, and lead to an increase in the number of appeals lying to the District Court. While it is practicable to make a satisfactory

re-distribution of the existing jurisdictions of the various Munsiffs' Courts in the District (including the Third Munsiff's Court) so as to give just sufficient work to each, this would not be easy if the files of these Courts were further burdened with a large increase of original suits.

To put the position more clearly, there is, even as matters stand at present, quite sufficient work for all the Munsiffs' Courts in the District, -- the three Courts at Lysore the two courts (the permanent and the temporary ones) at Seringapatam, and the Court at Nanjangud. The alternative proposal made in your letter entails, on the one hand, a material increase to the number of suits triable on the original side, and on the other, a reduction in the number of courts by one (due to the abolition of the additional Court at Seringapatam). And while it will no doubt tend to relieve the congestion in the Subordinate Judge's Court, it will not sufficiently provide the measure of assistance required by the District Judge in the disposal of appeals. The need for this will become more and more insistent with the increase in the number of appealable decrees, and cannot be met by the proposed establishment of an additional Subordinate Judge's Court for about six months to clear off the arrears of the Subordinate Judge's Court, which at the best is only a temporary palliative.

There are obvious advantages, upon which it is needless to dilate here, in concentrating small cause work (that is to say, of a defined area) in a special Court presided over by an officer of seniority and experience. This is the course adopted in British India, where moreover the pecuniary limit of small cause jurisdiction is fixed as high as Rs.500/- ordinarily and Rs.1000/- under special order of the Local Government. (Vide Section 15 of the Provincial Small Causes Court Act, 1887). The

ximum

maximum limit in the case of Subordinate Judges in Mysore is at present only Rs.300/- and while the Judges would have welcomed its increase to Rs.500/-, simultaneously with an expansion of the schedule of cases triable on the small cause side on the lines already proposed by the Chief Court in my letter C.No.654/22-23 dated 30th November 1922, they cannot conscientiously recommend any reduction in the existing limit as a desirable measure.

Should the Government still prefer to have an additional Subordinate Judge's Court, rather than a Special Small Cause Court, the Judges will of course loyally acquiesce in that decision in the hope that the matter may be reconsidered in the light of further experience. They would however suggest that in any case the additional Court may be established at least for a term of years, if not permanently, and that the higher small cause work proposed by them to be concentrated in one Court may be distributed between both the Subordinate Judges' Courts along with the appellate and original work.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant

Registrar. 8/4/24

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 12-6-24

Signed by Mr. C.A. Ghani

Section

File No.

Serial No

No. Camp

To The Regr. Lahore Court

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no 1062 911 of 7.6.24 re the establishment of an additional Subcourt at Mysore, I am directed to request you to kindly forward a statement showing the establishment also showing the necessary ~~in case an~~ for the additional Subcourt at Mysore ~~is sanctioned~~ in case one is sanctioned for a temporary short period. I am to add that it appears that no process service over. ~~the clerical establishment~~ for the additional Subcourt is one and full complement of as there is already a Subcourt with the full establishment

An early reply is requested.

Yours

Camp
11/6

G. R. S. VI—G/321

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 6428

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 15/6/24

Signed by MRCAG

Section Courts

File No. 177—23

Serial No. 7

Camp No. 40

To The Regr Chief Court

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter no Courts & Jcs-177-23 dt 12.6.24 I am directed to state that Govt ~~propose~~ intend sanctioning your proposal to establish an additional Sub-court at Mysore ~~for a period of one year~~ after abolishing the Temporary munsiffs Court at Seringapatam. I am therefore to request you to kindly take steps to abolish the Temporary munsiffs Court at

over.

5342 2098
P

IMMEDIATE.

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

Bangalore, dated 17th June 1924.

45 177-23-9

Roc No. 911 /Sicl52
23-24

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
~~Bangalore.~~
Camp. Mysore,

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter Camp.No.8 dated Camp,Mysore the 12th June 1924 requesting to be furnished with a statement showing the cost of establishment, etc., absolutely necessary for the additional Sub Court at Mysore, in case one is sanctioned for a temporary short period.

2. In reply, I am to forward herewith a statement showing the strength of the establishment, its cost, contingencies, etc., tentatively for a period of one year and to state that the cost of establishing the new court has been kept down as low as possible and that no more than the minimum number of clerks, etc., required has been proposed.

3. As regards accommodation I am to enclose for information copy of an extract from a demi - official report submitted by the District Judge, making three alternative suggestions in the matter. The Chief Judge who inspected the Law Court Buildings at Mysore on the 14th instant in company with the District Judge, considers that till the second witness shed is suitably altered and adopted for the new court, which may take some time, the big room occupied by the Sub Court Sheristedar may be temporarily utilised as a Court hall, obviating the necessity for hiring a private building. If this proposal be approved, it is requested that the Executive /-

Engineer

Engineer be directed to execute the necessary alterations to the witness shed as quickly as possible.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

Statement showing the cost of establishment etc., in connection with the proposed establishment of an additional Sub Judge's Court at Mysore for one year.

Name of Court.	Items of cost.	Amount.	Remarks.
(1)	Sub Judge's pay at Rs.500/- a month. ..	6000- 0- 0	
(2)	Two clerks:- One on Rs.40/- One on Rs.35/- ..	900- 0- 0	
(3)	Menials: One Mutchi on Rs.13/- One Daffedar " 12/- One peon " 10/- One peon " 9/- ..	528- 0- 0	This scale is one hand less than that of the permenant Sub Court.
(4)	Contingencies.....	250- 0- 0	
	Total Rs.	7,678- 0- 0	

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 17th June 1924.

REGISTRAR.

Extract from D.O. No.40 dated, Mysore, 8th June 1924 from the District Judge, Mysore, to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

As the Chief Court may be aware, there is no accommodation for a new Court in the existing Civil Court Buildings. It was on that very account, I had to get one of the witness Sheds improved for locating the Additional Munsiff's Court. As the new court in contemplation has to work for a fairly long time if it has to give any substantial relief to my court and that of the Sub Judge, and as it has to be very near the existing buildings for the convenience of the Members of the Bar, parties and officials, it seems to me that the best course would be to improve the other witness shed by raising the roof, adding a veranda and one or two rooms -- also. The witness shed is smaller in dimensions than that given for the Additional Munsiff: it measures only 32 X 18: and there is a wall in the middle which has to be removed to convert the whole into a court hall: in addition, a room is required for the Judge's chambers and one for the clerks. Further one of the existing rooms is given for vending tiffin to those who frequent the courts. As accommodation for this is absolutely necessary, a separate shed has to be put up with probably zinc sheets. As the improvements are likely to take some time to execute, if the Chief Court approve of my proposals, and obtain the sanction of the Government also, I may be permitted to engage for three months the house which had been engaged for locating the Additional Munsiff's Court till it was removed to the new buildings at a rent of Rs45/- a month. But the land-lord tells me that if he gets a tenent who is willing to take up the house for a longer term before the end of the month, he would prefer him. If the house which is also a small one is not available, the only other course open to me is to use one of the big rooms now used by the Sheristedar of the Sub Court and some clerks, as a court hall for new court, and ask them to work in a small room in the witness shed. Even then some patt-

repairs will be necessary for the witness shed, and the Executive Engineer should be asked to execute them immediately: there will be no room to spare for the Judge's chambers. Either the new Sub Judge must use the one used by the present Sub Judge with him, or some other arrangement must be made.

****

REGISTRAR.

RV.

38699

Telgm: "JUSTICE" **IMMEDIATE**

5 313

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

R. O. C. No. 911 / 23-24
Dis.

Bangalore...18th.....June.....*1924*.

CS 177-23-9

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar:-at-Law,
Secretary to Government,
Local & Legislative Depts.,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Camp P.40/Cts. - - 177-23-7 dated the 15th instant requesting to be furnished with Draft Notifications re: the additional Sub Court at - Mysore etc., and in continuation of my letter No.R.O.C.911/23-24 dated 17th June 1924 forwarding proposals regarding the establishment etc., required for that Court, I am - -- directed to forward herewith a copy of the Draft Notifications for abolishing the temporary Munsiff's Court at - -- Seringapatam and establishing a Sub Court at Mysore with effect from 1st July 1924.

58

I am to add that recommendations regarding the officer to be posted as additional Subordinate Judge, Mysore etc., are being forwarded separately in a D.O.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Sheemisadapaud

REGISTRAR. 18/6/

DRAFT NOTIFICATION.

Ch 177-23-12

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Mysore Civil Courts' Regulation I of 1883 and of all other powers enabling them in this behalf, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to establish, as a temporary measure, an additional Subordinate Judge's Court at Mysore, with effect from 1st July 1924.

2, The said additional Court, shall have and exercise jurisdiction concurrent with that of the Subordinate Judge, Mysore in regard to Original Suits, Appeals, Small Causes, and proceedings of a civil nature generally, arising within the local jurisdiction of the said Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysore, but shall try only cases that may be transferred to it by or under the orders of the District Judge.

By order.

****

"COPY".

REGISTRAR.

TKV. 220

Mair Incomplete. Mysore Gazette with 150 more

Drafts Cb 177-23 -

DRAFT NOTIFICATION.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Mysore Civil Courts' Regulation I of 1883 and of all other powers enabling them in this behalf the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to direct that the temporary Second Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam, established under Government Notification Nos.P.1975/Cts.75-23-3 dated 6th October 1923 and P.5921/Cts.152-23-4 dated 22nd April 1924, be abolished with effect from 1st July 1924.

By order,

****

"COPY".

18/6/24

REGISTRAR.

TKV. 7

To Compile Mysore Gazette

Noted

No P 6887-91
Cts 177-23-10

3 Sheets (3)

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore. 61

Read :-

Govt. Order No. P. 3558-67/ Cts. 93-2
-3 dated the 4th January 1924 pointing out in
the review on the report on the administratio
of Civil Justice in Mysore for the year 1922-
the necessity for steps to be taken to reduce
the high pendency of appeals in the Mysore Dn
and especially in the Sub- Court at Mysore.

(2) Letter No R.O.C. 911 dated the 18th January 1924 from the Registrar, Chief Court submitting with reference to the above, proposals for the establishment of a Small Cause Court at Mysore, after abolishing the temporary Second Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam if necessary.

dated 24-1-24
Sd- Br. (A. Ghani

(3) Letter No. P.5524-Cts 177-23- 5 dated the the 1st April 1924 to the Registrar, Chief Court observing that the congestion in the Sub- Court at Mysore may be reduced by reducing the Small cause jurisdiction of the Sub-judge and establishing temporarily a Sub- Court for a period of about 6 months to clear off the arrears.

(4) Letter No. R.O.C. 911 dated the 7th April 1924 from the Registrar, Chief Court stating that the Chief Court prefer, for reasons given, a Small Cause Court, but that they have no objection, however to the establishment of a Sub- Court and recommending that the additional Court may be established for a term of years, if not permanantly.

(5) Govt. Order No P 5925-5/ Cts 152-23-5

(5) Govt. Order No. P. 5923-5 / Cts. 152-23-5 dated the 22nd April 1924 sanctioning the continuance of the temporary Second Munsiff's court at Seringapatam established in G.O. NO. P. 2067-70/Acts 75-23-2 dated the 6th ~~June~~ October 1923, till the end of June 1924.

(6) Correspondence ending with ~~(6)~~ letter No R.O.C. 911 dated the 18th June 1924 from the Registrar, Chief Court forwarding proposals for the necessary establishment for the proposed Sub- Court together with draft notifications in the matter.

Order No. P. 6887-91/Cts 177-23-10

Dated 26th June 1924.

For some years past, there has been an accumulation of arrears mostly of the appeals in the Mysore Division and in the Sub-court in particular. There have also been representations for an increase in the number/higher courts. Government are satisfied that there is a necessity for an additional Court at Mysore and are accordingly pleased to sanction an additional Sub- Court at Mysore for a period of one year with effect from 1st July 1924 with the establishment noted in the margin.

Two Clerks on Rs. 40/- Rs. 35/-	75
1 Mutchi	13
1 Daffedar	12
2 peons on Rs. 10 & 9	19
Total P. M.	119/-

2. The temporary Second Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam is abolished with effect from 1st July 1924.

3. A contingent grant of Rs. 250/- is also sanctioned for the court.

4. The ~~big~~ room occupied by the Sub-court Sheristadar may be used temporarily as the Court hall till the second witness shed is suitably altered and made available for the new court. The Chief Engineer is requested to

to make the necessary alterations to the Second Witness shed in Mysore in consultation with the District Judge at a very early date.

5) The Superintendent, Govt. Printing and Sty. is requested to supply the additional sub-court with the necessary articles of stationery, registers and forms on the scale allowed to other courts

(6) The cost of the Court shall be met from t provision made therefor in the ensuing year's budget (1924-5)

(7) ~~Draft~~ Notifications establishing the new additional Sub-court at Mysore and abolish the Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam and appoi ting the Subjudge will issue separately.

L & L Secy.

23/6

To

The Registrar, Chief Court

" Comptroller through the Fl. Sectt.

" Chief Engineer

" Supt, Govt. Sty and Printing

" Publicity Officer

" Press Table.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 6887-91—Cts. 177-23-10, dated 27th June 1924

Additional Sub-Court.

Sanctions the establishment of an —— at Mysore.

Government Order No. P. 3558-67—Cts. 93-23-3, dated the 4th January 1924, point out in the review on the report on the administration of Civil Justice in Mysore, fo year 1922-23, the necessity for steps to be taken to reduce the high pendency o peals in the Mysore Division and especially in the Sub-Court at Mysore.

2. Letter No. R. O. C. 911, dated the 18th January 1924, from the Registrar, Chie urt, submitting with reference to the above, proposals for the establishment of a Smal use Court at Mysore, after abolishing the temporary Second Munsiff's Court at Seringa tam if necessary.

3. Letter No. P. 5524—Cts. 177-23-5, dated the 1st April 1924, to the Registrar ief Court, observing that the congestion in the Sub-Court at Mysore may be reduced b reducing the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Sub-Judge and establishing temporarily a Sub-Court for a period of about six months to clear off the arrears.

4. Letter No. R. O. C. 911, dated the 7th April 1924, from the Registrar, Chie Court, stating that the Chief Court prefer, for reasons given, a Small Cause Court, bu that they have no objection, however to the establishment of a Sub-Court and recommend ing that the additional court may be established for a term of years, if not permanently

5. Government Order No. P. 5923-5—Cts. 152-23-5, dated the 22nd April 1924, sanctioning the continuance of the temporary Second Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam established in Government Order No. P. 2067-70—Cts. 75-23-2, dated the 6th October 1923, till the end of June 1924.

6. Correspondence ending with letter No. R. O. C. 911, dated the 18th June 1924, from the Registrar, Chief Court, forwarding proposals for the necessary establishment for the proposed Sub-Court together with draft notifications in the matter.

ORDER No. P. 6887-91—CTS. 177-23-10, DATED 27TH JUNE 1924.

For some years past, there has been an accumulation of arrears mostly of the appeals in the Mysore Division and in the Sub-Court in particular. There have also been representations for an increase in the number of higher courts. Government are satisfied that there is a necessity for an additional court at Mysore and are accordingly pleased to sanction an additional Sub-Court at Mysore for a period of one year with effect from 1st July 1924, with the establishment noted in the margin.

	Rs.
Two clerks on Rs. 40 and Rs. 35	75
One mutchi	13
One daffedar	12
Two peons on Rs. 10 and 9	19
Total per month ...	119

2. The temporary Second Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam, is abolished with effect from 1st July 1924.

3. A contingent grant of Rs. 250 is also sanctioned for the court.

4. The room occupied by the Sub-Court Sheristedar may be used temporarily as the Court hall till the second witness shed is suitably altered and made available for the new court. The Chief Engineer is requested to make the necessary alterations to the Second Witness shed in Mysore, in consultation with the District Judge, at a very early date.

No. P. 6887-91—Cts. 177-23-10, dated 27th June 1924.

5. The Superintendent, Government Printing and Stationery is requested to supply the additional Sub-Court with the necessary articles of stationery, registers and forms on the scale allowed to other courts.

6. The cost of the court shall be met from the provision made therefor in the ensuing year's budget (1924-25).

7. Draft notifications establishing the new additional Sub-Court at Mysore and abolishing the Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam and appointing the Sub-Judge will issue separately.

B. NAGAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments.

To—The Registrar, Chief Court.
The Comptroller to Government through Financial Secretariat.
The Chief Engineer in Mysore.
The Superintendent, Government Stationery and Printing.
The Publicity Officer.

Exd.—P

Draft (2)

66

No.P. 1892 General and Revenue Secretariat,

Bangalore, 29th June 1924.

Ab 177-23-12

NOTIFICATION.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Mysore Civil Courts' Regulation I of 1883 and of all other powers enabling them in this behalf, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to establish, as a temporary measure, an additional Subordinate Judge's Court at Mysore, with effect from 1st July 1924.

2. The said additional Court shall have and exercise jurisdiction concurrent with that of the Subordinate Judge, Mysore, in regard to Original Suits, Appeals, Small Causes and proceedings of a civil nature generally, arising within the local jurisdiction of the said Subordinate Judge's Court, Mysore, but shall try only cases that may be transferred to it by or under the orders of the District Judge.

By Order,

Secretary to Government,

To Local and Legislative Departments

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in a Gazette Extraordinary immediately.

Draft

No.P.6894

Cts 177-23-11

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Bangalore, 27th June 1924.

NOTIFICATION.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Mysore Civil Courts' Regulation I of 1883 and of all other powers enabling them in this behalf, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to direct that the temporary Second Munsiff's Court at Seringapatam, established under Government Notification Nos.P.1975/Cts.75-23-3 dated the 6th October 1923 and P.5921/Cts.152-23-4 dated the 22nd April 1924, be abolished with effect from 1st July 1924.

By Order,

Secretary to Government,

Local and Legislative Departments.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication.

Gazette Extraordinary immediately.

(G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

10673

806

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE.

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTI

Bangalore, dated 19th August 1924

Roc No. 220/24-25-

Ch 177-23-13



FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

To

R. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legis: Depts.
Bangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to advert to paragraph 4 of the Proceedings of Government No.6887-91,Cts.177-23-10 dated the 27t June 1924, directing that alterations may be made to the secc witness shed in Mysore, with a view to provide suitable accon modation therein for the newly established Sub-Court there, a to state that a plan and an estimate for Rs.2600/- prepared b the Executive Engineer, Special Division, Mysore, in consulta tion with the District Judge, Mysore, have been duly counter-signed and forwarded to the Chief Engineer, in Mysore for nece sary sanction and execution of the work at an early date.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR. 20/8/24

PNK.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.